

## Non-Abelian Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis

Chaitanya Murthy<sup>1,\*</sup>, Arman Babakhani<sup>2,3</sup>, Fernando Iniguez<sup>4</sup>,  
Mark Srednicki<sup>4</sup>, and Nicole Yunger Halpern<sup>5,6,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089, USA*


<sup>3</sup>*Information Sciences Institute, Marina Del Rey, California 90292, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Joint Center for Quantum Information and Computer Science,*

*NIST and University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA*

 (Received 6 July 2022; revised 16 October 2022; accepted 24 February 2023; published 6 April 2023)

The eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) explains why nonintegrable quantum many-body systems thermalize internally if the Hamiltonian lacks symmetries. If the Hamiltonian conserves one quantity (“charge”), the ETH implies thermalization within a charge sector—in a microcanonical subspace. But quantum systems can have charges that fail to commute with each other and so share no eigenbasis; microcanonical subspaces may not exist. Furthermore, the Hamiltonian will have degeneracies, so the ETH need not imply thermalization. We adapt the ETH to noncommuting charges by positing a *non-Abelian ETH* and invoking the *approximate microcanonical subspace* introduced in quantum thermodynamics. Illustrating with SU(2) symmetry, we apply the non-Abelian ETH in calculating local operators’ time-averaged and thermal expectation values. In many cases, we prove, the time average thermalizes. However, we find cases in which, under a physically reasonable assumption, the time average converges to the thermal average unusually slowly as a function of the global-system size. This work extends the ETH, a cornerstone of many-body physics, to noncommuting charges, recently a subject of intense activity in quantum thermodynamics.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.140402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.140402)

Nonintegrable closed quantum many-body systems thermalize internally, in the absence of conserved observables, or *charges*. Few-body operators  $\mathcal{O}$  equilibrate to the expectation values they would have in the canonical state  $\rho_{\text{can}} \propto e^{-\beta H}$ .  $H$  denotes the Hamiltonian, whose expectation value determines the inverse temperature  $\beta$  [1]. The eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) explains this thermalization [2–4]: Let  $|\alpha\rangle$  denote the energy eigenstates;  $E_\alpha$ , the eigenenergies; and  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'} := \langle\alpha|\mathcal{O}|\alpha'\rangle$ , matrix elements representing the operator.  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $H$  satisfy the ETH if  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'}$  has a certain structure, reviewed below. If  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'}$  does and  $H$  is nondegenerate,  $\mathcal{O}$  thermalizes: Its time-averaged expectation value approximately equals its thermal expectation value. The difference is of  $O(N^{-1})$ , if  $N$  denotes the global system size. (We use big- $O$  notation as in many-body physics, meaning “scales as.”) These results explain behaviors observed numerically and experimentally across condensed matter; atomic, molecular, and optical physics; and high-energy physics [1,5–14].

The argument for thermalization relies on the Hamiltonian’s nondegeneracy and on matrix-element structure. Both postulates are questionable if  $H$  conserves charges [15]. If  $H$  has an Abelian symmetry, the energy spectrum can lack degeneracies. Since the charges

commute, they share eigenspaces—charge sectors. In each shared sector, the ETH applies. For example, consider  $N$  qubits (quantum two-level systems, or spins).  $H$  can conserve the total spin’s  $z$  component,  $S_z$ , by being U(1) symmetric. The ETH is often applied in an  $S_z$  sector, wherein the ETH holds and implies thermalization.

A non-Abelian symmetry can eliminate our recourse to charge sectors: Such a symmetry is generated by charges that fail to commute with each other and so cannot necessarily have definite values simultaneously—cannot necessarily share sectors governable by the ETH. Moreover, non-Abelian symmetries force degeneracies on  $H$ , having multi-dimensional irreducible representations. Finally, how  $\mathcal{O}$  transforms under the symmetry operations constrains the matrix elements  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'}$  in opposition to the ETH.

For example, consider again an  $N$ -qubit system.  $H$  can conserve the total spin components  $S_{a=x,y,z}$ , by being SU(2) symmetric. The energy spectrum splits into degenerate multiplets labeled by total spin quantum numbers  $s_\alpha$ . Only the singlets, whose  $s_\alpha = 0$ , are simultaneous eigenspaces of  $S_{x,y,z}$ . Furthermore, the matrix elements  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'}$  obey the Wigner–Eckart theorem [16], conflicting with the ETH.

Non-Abelian symmetries are ubiquitous in quantum many-body physics [17,18]. They grace systems including

complex nuclei and atoms [19], Heisenberg models in condensed matter [20,21], gauge theories [22], and Wess-Zumino-Witten models [23–26]. Hence the apparent conflict between non-Abelian symmetries and the ETH impacts our basic understanding of diverse, prominent models.

To overcome the conflict, we propose a *non-Abelian ETH*. We apply it to SU(2) symmetry for simplicity, expecting results to generalize. Using the non-Abelian ETH, we compute two averages of few-body operators  $\mathcal{O}$ : time-averaged and thermal expectation values. For many operators and initial states, the time average agrees with the thermal average: Differences are  $O(N^{-1})$ , as without non-commuting charges [27,28]. For certain operators and initial states, however, the time average may deviate from the thermal prediction by anomalously large corrections  $\sim N^{-1/2}$ . This result holds under a physically reasonable assumption about the non-Abelian analog of  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ .

Below, we review the conventional ETH. We then introduce our setup, present the non-Abelian ETH [Eq. (14)], and apply it to calculate operators' thermal and time-averaged expectation values. Finally, we describe opportunities established by our results. This work extends the ETH, a mainstay of many-body physics, to the more fully quantum domain of noncommuting charges and so to a growing subfield of quantum-information thermodynamics [29–63].

*Review of conventional ETH.*—Let the Hamiltonian  $H$ , energy eigenstates  $|\alpha\rangle$ , eigenenergies  $E_\alpha$ , operator  $\mathcal{O}$ , and matrix elements  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'} := \langle\alpha|\mathcal{O}|\alpha'\rangle$  be defined as in the Introduction. The operator and Hamiltonian satisfy the ETH if

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} + e^{-S_{\text{th}}(\mathcal{E})/2}f(\mathcal{E}, \omega)R_{\alpha\alpha'}. \quad (1)$$

The relevant energies average to  $\mathcal{E} := (E_\alpha + E_{\alpha'})/2$ , their difference is  $\omega := E_\alpha - E_{\alpha'}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $f(\mathcal{E}, \omega)$  are real functions that vary smoothly with the energy density  $\mathcal{E}/N$ ,  $S_{\text{th}}(\mathcal{E})$  denotes the thermodynamic entropy (logarithm of the density of states) at energy  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$  denotes the Kronecker delta, and the  $R_{\alpha\alpha'}$  are erratically varying  $O(1)$  numbers [64–66]. The first, “diagonal” ( $\alpha = \alpha'$ ) term in Eq. (1) contains the microcanonical expectation value  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ . The thermodynamic entropy  $S_{\text{th}}(\mathcal{E})$  exponentially suppresses the second, “off-diagonal” term.

If  $\mathcal{O}$  and a nondegenerate  $H$  satisfy the ETH,  $\mathcal{O}$  thermalizes [1,28]: Let  $N$  denote the system's size. The system begins in a normalized state  $|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_\alpha C_\alpha|\alpha\rangle$  with an extensive energy  $E := \langle H \rangle = O(N)$ . We denote expectation values by  $\langle \cdot \rangle := \langle \psi(0) | \cdot | \psi(0) \rangle$ . Let the energy variance,  $\text{var}(H) := \langle H^2 \rangle - E^2$ , be at most  $O(N)$ .

At time  $t$ , the operator's expectation value is

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_t = \sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^2 \mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} C_\alpha^* C_{\alpha'} e^{i(E_\alpha - E_{\alpha'})t/\hbar} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha'}. \quad (2)$$

Consider averaging this value over an infinite time:  $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle}_t := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1/t) \int_0^t dt' \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{t'}$ . As  $H$  lacks degeneracies, phase cancellations make the second term average to zero:  $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle}_t = \sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^2 \mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha}$ .

To the first term, we apply a strategy that will echo in our noncommuting-charge arguments. By the ETH [Eq. (1)],  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\alpha} \approx \mathcal{O}(E_\alpha)$  can be Taylor expanded about  $E_\alpha = E$ . The zeroth-order term yields  $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle}_t \approx \mathcal{O}(E)$ , by the state's normalization. The first-order term vanishes, by the definition of  $E$ . All higher-order terms yield corrections  $\leq O(N^{-1})$ , by the energy-variance bound and the smoothness of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ . Hence the time average  $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle}_t = \mathcal{O}(E) + O(N^{-1})$  approximately equals the microcanonical average. So does the canonical average,  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{O}\rho_{\text{can}}) = \mathcal{O}(E) + O(N^{-1})$ , by the ETH [Eq. (1)] and related arguments [28,67–69]. Therefore, the time average  $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle}_t$  equals the thermal average plus  $O(N^{-1})$  corrections.

*Setup suited to noncommuting charges.*—Consider a quantum system formed from  $N \gg 1$  degrees of freedom. The Hamiltonian  $H$  is nonintegrable. It conserves a number  $\ll N$  of charges  $Q_a$  that do not all commute:  $[H, Q_a] = 0$ , but  $[Q_a, Q_{a'}] \neq 0$  for some  $a' \neq a$ . The charges generate a non-Abelian symmetry group.

We illustrate with an  $N$ -qubit system that has an SU(2) symmetry—whose total spin components  $S_{a=x,y,z}$  are conserved. (Those components decompose as  $S_a = \sum_{j=1}^N s_{j,a}$ , if the  $s_{j,a}$  denote qubit  $j$ 's spin operators.)  $H$ ,  $\vec{S}^2$ , and  $S_z$  share an eigenbasis  $\{|\alpha, m\rangle\}$ . If  $\hbar = 1$ ,

$$H|\alpha, m\rangle = E_\alpha|\alpha, m\rangle, \quad (3)$$

$$\vec{S}^2|\alpha, m\rangle = s_\alpha(s_\alpha + 1)|\alpha, m\rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$S_z|\alpha, m\rangle = m|\alpha, m\rangle, \quad \text{wherein} \quad (5)$$

$$m = -s_\alpha, -s_\alpha + 1, \dots, s_\alpha. \quad (6)$$

Ladder operators  $S_\pm = S_x \pm iS_y$  raise and lower  $S_z$ .

The normalized initial state decomposes as

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,m} C_{\alpha,m}|\alpha, m\rangle, \quad \text{wherein} \quad C_{\alpha,m} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (7)$$

Operators  $\mathcal{O}$  have time  $t$  expectation values  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_t := \langle \psi(t) | \mathcal{O} | \psi(t) \rangle$ . We drop the subscript from time constants:

$$\langle H \rangle =: E, \quad \text{and} \quad (8)$$

$$\langle S_z \rangle =: M. \quad (9)$$

Aligning the  $z$  axis with  $\vec{S}$ , we set  $M \geq 0$  and  $\langle S_x \rangle, \langle S_y \rangle = 0$ , without sacrificing generality. The state has an extensive energy,  $E = O(N)$ , and is far from

maximally spin polarized:  $N - M = O(N)$ . [ETH-type statements tend to hold when the thermodynamic entropy is extensive [1].  $S_{\text{th}}$  tends to be nonextensive when additive charges (e.g.,  $E$  and  $S_{x,y,z}$ ) lie near their extremes, which we therefore exclude].

$|\psi(0)\rangle$  belongs to an *approximate microcanonical subspace*, which generalizes a microcanonical subspace for noncommuting charges [32,45,60]: Measuring any charge  $Q_a$  likely yields an outcome near  $\langle Q_a \rangle$ ; the charges' variances are bounded as

$$\text{var}(H) \leq O(N), \quad (10)$$

$$\text{var}(S_z) \leq O(N), \quad \text{and} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{var}(S_{x,y}) \leq O(N). \quad (12)$$

Conditions (10)–(12) govern typical many-body states prepared today, including all short-range-correlated states [70] [45,60].

Having introduced the initial state, we profile operators expected to obey the non-Abelian ETH. Without sacrificing generality, we focus on symmetry-adapted operators: *Spherical tensor operators* consist of components  $T_q^{(k)}$  that transform irreducibly under global  $SU(2)$  rotations [16]. For example, consider an atom absorbing a photon (of spin  $k = 1$ ), which imparts  $q = 1$  quantum of  $z$ -type angular momentum.  $T_{q=1}^{(k=1)}$  represents the photon's effect. Generally, the index  $q = -k, -k + 1, \dots, k$ . Examples include single-spin operators:  $s_{j,z}$  is a  $T_0^{(1)}$ , and the ladder operators  $s_{j,\pm} = s_{j,x} \pm i s_{j,y}$  are proportional to  $T_{\pm 1}^{(1)}$  operators. Every operator equals a linear combination of  $T_q^{(k)}$  operators [16].

We focus on few-body operators, commonly expected to satisfy ETH-type postulates [1,71]. More precisely, we consider  $K$ -local operators  $\mathcal{O}$ , which have operator norms  $\leq O(K)$ . Examples include products of  $K$  single-spin operators, e.g.,  $s_{1,x} s_{2,y} \cdots s_{K,z} + \text{H.c.}$  Every  $K$ -local operator equals a linear combination of spherical-tensor components  $T_q^{(\leq K)}$ . We focus on  $K = O(1)$  and hence on operators  $T_q^{(k)}$  with  $k, q = O(1)$ .

Consider representing a  $T_q^{(k)}$  operator as a matrix relative to the energy eigenbasis. The matrix elements obey the Wigner–Eckart theorem [16],

$$\langle \alpha, m | T_q^{(k)} | \alpha', m' \rangle = \langle s_\alpha, m | s_{\alpha'}, m'; k, q \rangle \langle \alpha | T^{(k)} | \alpha' \rangle. \quad (13)$$

The first factor,  $\langle s_\alpha, m | s_{\alpha'}, m'; k, q \rangle$ , is a *Clebsch–Gordan coefficient*, which encodes the rules of quantum angular-momentum addition: The coefficient is nonzero only if  $m = m' + q$  and  $s_\alpha = |s_{\alpha'} - k|, |s_{\alpha'} - k| + 1, \dots, s_{\alpha'} + k$  (only if, in the photon example, the atomic transition obeys selection rules). Whereas the Clebsch–Gordan coefficient is

kinematic, the second factor in Eq. (13) is dynamical. This *reduced matrix element*  $\langle \alpha | T^{(k)} | \alpha' \rangle$  depends on the operator  $T_q^{(k)}$  and on  $H$  but not on the quantum numbers  $m, m'$ , and  $q$  (e.g., not on how many quanta of  $z$ -type angular momentum the photon gives the atom).

*Non-Abelian ETH.*—We now posit that the reduced matrix element can obey the *non-Abelian ETH*. Define the average energy  $\mathcal{E} := \frac{1}{2}(E_\alpha + E_{\alpha'})$  and energy difference  $\omega := E_\alpha - E_{\alpha'}$ . Analogously, define the average spin quantum number  $\mathcal{S} := \frac{1}{2}(s_\alpha + s_{\alpha'})$  and the difference  $\nu := s_\alpha - s_{\alpha'}$ . Denote by  $S_{\text{th}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$  the thermodynamic entropy at energy  $\mathcal{E}$  and spin quantum number  $\mathcal{S}$ . The operator  $T_q^{(k)}$  and Hamiltonian  $H$  obey the non-Abelian ETH if

$$\langle \alpha | T^{(k)} | \alpha' \rangle = \mathcal{T}^{(k)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \delta_{\alpha, \alpha'} + e^{-S_{\text{th}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})/2} f_\nu^{(k)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}, \omega) R_{\alpha \alpha'}. \quad (14)$$

The real functions  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$  and  $f_\nu^{(k)}$  depend smoothly on the densities  $\mathcal{E}/N$  and  $\mathcal{S}/N$ . The  $R_{\alpha \alpha'}$  are erratically varying  $O(1)$  numbers, as in the conventional ETH.

Unlike  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonextensive, so the  $\mathcal{S}$  dependencies in Eq. (14) may be unexpected. Yet the Wigner–Eckart theorem [Eq. (13)] prevents  $\langle \alpha | T^{(k)} | \alpha' \rangle$  from depending on  $m$  or  $m'$ . Hence only  $\mathcal{S}$  can encode the non-Abelian-charge conservation here.

*Thermal prediction.*—Nonintegrable systems thermalize to the canonical state  $\rho_{\text{can}} \propto e^{-\beta H}$  if just energy is conserved and to the grand canonical state  $\rho_{\text{GC}} \propto e^{-\beta(H - \mu \mathcal{N})}$  if the energy and particle number  $\mathcal{N}$  are conserved, etc. Which thermal state emerges depends on the charges [67,72]. If they fail to commute, derivations of the thermal state's form break down [30,32]. Certain derivations were generalized in quantum-information thermodynamics to accommodate noncommuting charges [31–33,72,73], leading to the *non-Abelian thermal state* (NATS),

$$\rho_{\text{NATS}} := e^{-\beta(H - \sum_a \mu_a Q_a)} / Z. \quad (15)$$

$\beta$  and the effective chemical potentials  $\mu_a$  are defined by the charge expectation values,  $\text{Tr}(H \rho_{\text{NATS}}) = E$  and  $\text{Tr}(Q_a \rho_{\text{NATS}}) = \langle Q_a \rangle$  [45,74]. The partition function is  $Z := \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta(H - \sum_a \mu_a Q_a)})$ . The NATS shares its form with the generalized Gibbs ensemble [75–79], often defined for integrable Hamiltonians and usually used with commuting charges (see Ref. [80] for an exception). Since our charges fail to commute and our  $H$  is nonintegrable, we write “NATS” for clarity. Signatures of  $\rho_{\text{NATS}}$  have emerged dynamically in numerical simulations [45] and a trapped-ion experiment [60], yet full thermalization to  $\rho_{\text{NATS}}$  has not been observed in closed quantum systems. Furthermore, noncommuting charges were conjectured to alter thermalization [45].

Our  $z$ -axis choice simplifies  $\rho_{\text{NATS}}$  to  $e^{-\beta(H-\mu S_z)}/Z$  (Supplemental Material, Sec. 1) [81]. Although  $\rho_{\text{NATS}}$  now shares its mathematical form with  $\rho_{\text{GC}}$ , the physics differs significantly. Here, energy and three non-commuting charges are conserved globally and transported locally; during grand canonical thermalization, energy and particles—two commuting charges—are. In the grand canonical case, the global system begins in a microcanonical subspace. Here, no nontrivial microcanonical subspace (associated with  $s_\alpha \neq 0$ ) exists, and the global system begins in an approximate microcanonical subspace. These differences in setup, we show, permit differences in thermalization.

$T_q^{(k)}$  has a thermal expectation value  $\langle T_q^{(k)} \rangle_{\text{th}} := \text{Tr}(T_q^{(k)} \rho_{\text{NATS}})$ , whose trace we calculate using the  $|\alpha, m\rangle$  basis. We apply the Wigner–Eckart theorem [Eq. (13)], and then the non-Abelian ETH [Eq. (14)]. The Clebsch–Gordan coefficient vanishes if  $q \neq 0$ , so

$$\langle T_q^{(k)} \rangle_{\text{th}} = \frac{\delta_{q,0}}{Z} \sum_{\alpha, m} e^{-\beta(E_\alpha - \mu m)} \langle s_\alpha, m | s_\alpha, m; k, 0 \rangle T^{(k)}(E_\alpha, s_\alpha). \quad (16)$$

(We omit corrections exponentially small in  $N$ .)

*Time-averaged expectation value.*—After  $|\psi(0)\rangle$  [Eq. (7)] evolves for a time  $t$ , the operator  $T_q^{(k)}$  has an expectation value

$$\langle T_q^{(k)} \rangle_t = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha', m, m'} C_{\alpha, m}^* C_{\alpha', m'} e^{i(E_\alpha - E_{\alpha'})t} \langle \alpha, m | T_q^{(k)} | \alpha', m' \rangle. \quad (17)$$

We apply the Wigner–Eckart theorem [Eq. (13)], invoke the non-Abelian ETH [Eq. (14)], and average  $\langle T_q^{(k)} \rangle_t$  over an infinite time ( $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1/t) \int_0^t dt'$ ). For all  $\alpha' \neq \alpha$ , the exponential in Eq. (17) dephases, so the “off-diagonal” terms vanish:

$$\overline{\langle T_q^{(k)} \rangle_t} = \sum_{\alpha, m} C_{\alpha, m+q}^* C_{\alpha, m} \langle s_\alpha, m+q | s_\alpha, m; k, q \rangle T^{(k)}(E_\alpha, s_\alpha). \quad (18)$$

*Comparison.*—We prove two results: (i) If  $M = O(N)$ , the time average [Eq. (18)] equals the thermal average [Eq. (16)], plus  $O(N^{-1})$  corrections, as in the absence of noncommuting charges [27,28]. (ii) If  $M = 0$ , the time average may deviate from the thermal average by anomalously large,  $O(N^{-1/2})$  corrections. These corrections appear sourced by different physics: quantum uncertainty in noncommuting charges, rather than thermodynamic ensembles’ distinguishability at finite  $N$  [27,28]. Result (ii) holds under a physically reasonable assumption

described and motivated below Eq. (21). Anomalous thermalization may occur also at intermediate scalings  $M = O(N^\gamma)$ , for exponents  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , but this regime lies outside this Letter’s scope.

Consider an extensive  $M = O(N)$  and  $s_{j,z}$ -like operators  $T_{q=0}^{(k)}$ . We sketch the argument for thermalization here; details appear in the Supplemental Material, Sec. 2 [81]. The thermal average [Eq. (16)] and time average [Eq. (18)] share a crucial property: In each,  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}(E_\alpha, s_\alpha)$  is averaged over a sharply peaked probability distribution. The peaking follows primarily from the variance conditions [Eqs. (10)–(12)]. Near each peak, the smooth function  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}(E_\alpha, s_\alpha)$  can be Taylor expanded, and then averaged term by term. The leading term evaluates to  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}(E, M)$  in both averages, Eqs. (16) and (18). All higher-order terms evaluate to  $\leq O(N^{-1})$ . Therefore, the averages equal each other to within the usual correction:

$$\overline{\langle T_0^{(k)} \rangle_t} - \langle T_0^{(k)} \rangle_{\text{th}} = O(N^{-1}). \quad (19)$$

Now, consider ladder-operator-like operators  $T_{q \neq 0}^{(k)}$ . The thermal average [Eq. (16)] vanishes, due to the Kronecker delta. The time average [Eq. (18)] is  $\leq O(N^{-1})$ , as shown in the Supplemental Material, Secs. 3 and 4 [81]. Hence the time average equals the vanishing thermal average to within the ordinary  $O(N^{-1})$  correction.

The correction can be anomalously large when  $M = 0$ . When  $M = 0$ , the thermal state is rotationally invariant. Only similarly invariant  $T_0^{(0)}$  operators can have nonzero thermal averages [84]. Contrariwise, some states  $|\psi(0)\rangle$  have  $M = 0$  but are rotationally *noninvariant*. Intuitively, these states have vanishing magnetic dipole moments but nonzero magnetic quadrupole moments (or higher-order moments). Such states can endow operators  $T_q^{(k>0)}$  with time averages of  $O(N^{-1/2})$ , in contrast with their vanishing thermal averages. Here is an example.

Consider an arbitrary Hamiltonian eigenspace labeled by  $\alpha = A$ , associated with an extensive energy  $E_A = O(N)$  and a spin quantum number  $s_A = O(N^{1/2})$  (chosen for reasons shown below). The following state has  $M = 0$  but is rotationally noninvariant:

$$|\psi(0)\rangle := \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |A, m = s_A\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |A, m = -\frac{s_A}{2}\rangle. \quad (20)$$

$|\psi(0)\rangle$  has the properties stipulated in the setup; one can check directly. Consider the local magnetic quadrupole moment  $3s_{i,z}s_{j,z} - \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j$ . The  $i$  and  $j$  label neighboring sites. This  $T_0^{(2)}$  operator’s time average [Eq. (18)] reduces to



$$\overline{\langle T_0^{(2)} \rangle_t} = O(1) \times \mathcal{T}^{(2)}(E_A, s_A). \quad (21)$$

Clebsch–Gordan coefficients determine the  $O(1)$  factor.

The  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(E_A, s_A)$  scales linearly with the spin density— as  $s_A/N$ —for some systems, we assume. Bound states motivate this assumption, as outlined here and detailed in the Supplemental Material, Sec. 5 [81].  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(E_A, s_A)$  approximately equals an eigenstate expectation value, by the Wigner–Eckart theorem and the non-Abelian ETH:

$$\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(E_A, s_A) \approx \langle A, s_A | 3s_{i,z}s_{j,z} - \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j | A, s_A \rangle. \quad (22)$$

The right-hand side is essentially the joint probability  $P(i, j)$  of finding spin quanta at sites  $i$  and  $j$ . Semiclassically,  $P(i, j) = P(i|j) \times P(j)$ , if  $P(j)$  denotes the probability of finding a quantum at  $j$  and  $P(i|j)$  denotes the conditional probability of then finding a quantum at  $i$ .  $P(i|j)$  can be  $O(1)$  if the spin quanta form bound clusters: Just as attractive interactions can bind particles together, so may suitable (e.g., ferromagnetic) couplings bind spin quanta. In the high-energy eigenstate  $|A, s_A\rangle$ , clusters will be spread uniformly, with a density  $\sim s_A/N \sim P(j)$ . Combining these steps yields  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(E_A, s_A) \sim P(i|j) \times P(j) = O(1) \times O(s_A/N) = O(N^{-1/2})$ , by our choice  $s_A = O(N^{1/2})$ . Substituting into Eq. (21) yields the time average. It deviates from the vanishing thermal average by

$$\overline{\langle T_0^{(2)} \rangle_t} - \langle T_0^{(2)} \rangle_{\text{th}} = O(N^{-1/2}) > O(N^{-1}). \quad (23)$$

See the Supplemental Material, Sec. 6 [81], for details and Sec. 7 for another anomalous-thermalization example.

Anomalous  $O(N^{-1/2})$  scaling also characterizes a kinematic bound in Ref. [32]. That work generalized a conventional derivation of the thermal state’s form to accommodate noncommuting charges: The global system, formed from  $N$  identical subsystems, was assumed to be in a generalized microcanonical state. The average subsystem’s reduced state was found to lie a distance  $\leq (\text{const})N^{-1/2} + (\text{const})$  from  $\rho_{\text{NATS}}$ . It is possible that our results, based on dynamics and the ETH, reflect the Hamiltonian-independent results in Ref. [32].

*Outlook.*—We have extended the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, a cornerstone of many-body physics, to the more fully quantum scenario in which conserved charges fail to commute with each other. Noncommutation can prevent the charges from sharing an eigenspace (a sector) and invalidates the usual assumption of the Hamiltonian’s nondegeneracy. We overcame these challenges by proposing a non-Abelian ETH and focusing on an approximate microcanonical subspace. Applying these tools to  $SU(2)$ , we compared the long-time average of an operator’s expectation value with the thermal expectation value. The averages agree in many cases, e.g., whenever  $M = O(N)$ . Yet the averages can disagree by anomalously large  $O(N^{-1/2})$  corrections under a physically reasonable assumption.

This work establishes several research opportunities. First, our analytical results call for testing with numerics and quantum simulators. Trapped ions have been shown, and ultracold atoms and superconducting qudits have been argued, to be able to test noncommuting-charge thermodynamics [45,59,60]. Promising models include nonintegrable Heisenberg Hamiltonians [45,59,60] and many-electron atoms. One would verify the non-Abelian ETH [Eq. (14)]; identify operators  $T_q^{(k)}$  whose smooth functions  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$  satisfy our assumptions, enabling anomalous thermalization; and observe deviations [Eq. (23)] from thermal predictions.

Second, those deviations may signal the retention, by local subsystems, of information about their initial conditions. Such retention might be leveraged. Noncommuting charges could enhance quantum memories, as many-body localization has been proposed to Ref. [85]. Localization resembles prethermalization [86], scars [87], and Hilbert-space fragmentation [88] in disrupting closed quantum many-body systems’ thermalization. Noncommuting charges may belong on the list, as indicated by our results. Confirmation would hold fundamental interest, as disrupting thermalization effectively hinders time’s arrow.

Third, our arguments merit generalization from  $SU(2)$ . Fourth, the smooth function  $f_\nu^{(k)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}, \omega)$  [Eq. (14)] should reveal how non-Abelian symmetries influence thermalization *dynamics* and so merits investigation. This work extends the ETH to the more fully quantum regime of noncommuting charges, linking many-body physics to quantum-information thermodynamics [29–63].

C. M. thanks Tibor Rakovszky and Curt von Keyserlingk for helpful conversations; and N. Y. H. thanks Wen Wei Ho, Noah Lupu-Gladstein, Mikhail Lukin, and Bihui Zhu. C. M. and N. Y. H. acknowledge the hospitality of the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, where this work started. N. Y. H. acknowledges also the Perimeter Institute’s hospitality. This research was supported in part by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation’s EPIQS Initiative through GBMF8686 (C. M.), by the National Science Foundation under QLCI Grant No. OMA-2120757 (N. Y. H.), by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 2139319 (F. I.), and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY-1748958 (C. M., M. S., and N. Y. H.). Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities.

\* crmurthy@stanford.edu

† nicoleyh@umd.edu

- [1] L. D’Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov, and M. Rigol, From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics, *Adv. Phys.* **65**, 239 (2016).

- [2] J. M. Deutsch, Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [3] M. Srednicki, Chaos and quantum thermalization, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888 (1994).
- [4] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems, *Nature (London)* **452**, 854 (2008).
- [5] J. M. Deutsch, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 082001 (2018).
- [6] A. Chandran, M. D. Schulz, and F. J. Burnell, The eigenstate thermalization hypothesis in constrained hilbert spaces: A case study in non-abelian anyon chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 235122 (2016).
- [7] D. Jansen, J. Stolpp, L. Vidmar, and F. Heidrich-Meisner, Eigenstate thermalization and quantum chaos in the holstein polaron model, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 155130 (2019).
- [8] T.-C. Lu and T. Grover, Renyi entropy of chaotic eigenstates, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 032111 (2019).
- [9] C. Murthy and M. Srednicki, Structure of chaotic eigenstates and their entanglement entropy, *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 022131 (2019).
- [10] T. Langen, R. Geiger, and J. Schmiedmayer, Ultracold atoms out of equilibrium, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 201 (2015).
- [11] N. Lashkari, A. Dymarsky, and H. Liu, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis in conformal field theory, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2018) 033101.
- [12] N. Bao and N. Cheng, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and approximate quantum error correction, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2019) 152.
- [13] C. Murthy and M. Srednicki, Bounds on Chaos from the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 230606 (2019).
- [14] N. Mueller, T. V. Zache, and R. Ott, Thermalization of Gauge Theories from Their Entanglement Spectrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 011601 (2022).
- [15] We focus on continuous symmetries to bridge ETH studies with the emerging subfield of the quantum thermodynamics of noncommuting charges (Hermitian operators that generate continuous symmetries).
- [16] R. Shankar, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, NY, 2008).
- [17] C. N. Yang, Symmetry and physics, *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* **140**, 267 (1996), <https://www.jstor.org/stable/987310>.
- [18] R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications* (Wiley, New York, 1974).
- [19] E. P. Wigner, *Group Theory and its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra* (Academic Press, New York, 1959).
- [20] A. Auerbach, *Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism* (Springer, New York, 1998).
- [21] K. Joel, D. Kollmar, and L. F. Santos, An introduction to the spectrum, symmetries, and dynamics of spin-1/2 heisenberg chains, *Am. J. Phys.* **81**, 450 (2013).
- [22] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1995).
- [23] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Consequences of anomalous ward identities, *Phys. Lett. B* **37**, 95 (1971).
- [24] E. Witten, Global aspects of current algebra, *Nucl. Phys.* **B223**, 422 (1983).
- [25] E. Witten, Non-abelian bosonization in two dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92**, 455 (1984).
- [26] S. P. Novikov, The hamiltonian formalism and a many-valued analogue of morse theory, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **37**, 1 (1982).
- [27] M. Srednicki, Thermal fluctuations in quantized chaotic systems, *J. Phys. A* **29**, L75 (1996).
- [28] M. Srednicki, The approach to thermal equilibrium in quantized chaotic systems, *J. Phys. A* **32**, 1163 (1999).
- [29] M. Lostaglio, The resource theory of quantum thermodynamics, Ph.D. thesis, Imperial College London, 2016.
- [30] N. Yunger Halpern, Beyond heat baths II: Framework for generalized thermodynamic resource theories, *J. Phys. A* **51**, 094001 (2018).
- [31] Y. Guryanova, S. Popescu, A. J. Short, R. Silva, and P. Skrzypczyk, Thermodynamics of quantum systems with multiple conserved quantities, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12049 (2016).
- [32] N. Yunger Halpern, P. Faist, J. Oppenheim, and A. Winter, Microcanonical and resource-theoretic derivations of the thermal state of a quantum system with noncommuting charges, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12051 (2016).
- [33] M. Lostaglio, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, Thermodynamic resource theories, non-commutativity and maximum entropy principles, *New J. Phys.* **19**, 043008 (2017).
- [34] C. Sparaciari, L. Del Rio, C. M. Scandolo, P. Faist, and J. Oppenheim, The first law of general quantum resource theories, *Quantum* **4**, 259 (2020).
- [35] Z. B. Khanian, From quantum source compression to quantum thermodynamics, [arXiv:2012.14143](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.14143).
- [36] Z. B. Khanian, M. N. Bera, A. Riera, M. Lewenstein, and A. Winter, Resource theory of heat and work with non-commuting charges: Yet another new foundation of thermodynamics, [arXiv:2011.08020](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.08020).
- [37] G. Gour, D. Jennings, F. Buscemi, R. Duan, and I. Marvian, Quantum majorization and a complete set of entropic conditions for quantum thermodynamics, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5352 (2018).
- [38] G. Manzano, J. M. R. Parrondo, and G. T. Landi, Non-abelian quantum transport and thermosqueezing effects, *PRX Quantum* **3**, 010304 (2022).
- [39] S. Popescu, A. B. Sainz, A. J. Short, and A. Winter, Quantum reference frames and their applications to thermodynamics, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20180111 (2018).
- [40] S. Popescu, A. B. Sainz, A. J. Short, and A. Winter, Reference Frames Which Separately Store Noncommuting Conserved Quantities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 090601 (2020).
- [41] K. Ito and M. Hayashi, Optimal performance of generalized heat engines with finite-size baths of arbitrary multiple conserved quantities beyond independent-and-identical-distribution scaling, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 012129 (2018).
- [42] M. N. Bera, A. Riera, M. Lewenstein, Z. B. Khanian, and A. Winter, Thermodynamics as a consequence of information conservation, *Quantum* **3**, 121 (2019).
- [43] J. Mur-Petit, A. Relaño, R. A. Molina, and D. Jaksch, Revealing missing charges with generalised quantum fluctuation relations, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2006 (2018).

- [44] G. Manzano, Squeezed thermal reservoir as a generalized equilibrium reservoir, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 042123 (2018).
- [45] N. Yunger Halpern, M. E. Beverland, and A. Kalev, Non-commuting conserved charges in quantum many-body thermalization, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 042117 (2020).
- [46] G. Manzano, R. Sánchez, R. Silva, G. Haack, J. B. Brask, N. Brunner, and P. P. Potts, Hybrid thermal machines: Generalized thermodynamic resources for multitasking, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 043302 (2020).
- [47] K. Fukai, Y. Nozawa, K. Kawahara, and T. N. Ikeda, Noncommutative generalized gibbs ensemble in isolated integrable quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 033403 (2020).
- [48] J. Mur-Petit, A. Relaño, R. A. Molina, and D. Jaksch, Fluctuations of work in realistic equilibrium states of quantum systems with conserved quantities, *SciPost Phys. Proc.* **3**, 24 (2020).
- [49] M. Scandi and M. Perarnau-Llobet, Thermodynamic length in open quantum systems, *Quantum* **3**, 197 (2019).
- [50] P. Boes, H. Wilming, J. Eisert, and R. Gallego, Statistical ensembles without typicality, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1 (2018).
- [51] Y. Mitsuhashi, K. Kaneko, and T. Sagawa, Characterizing Symmetry-Protected Thermal Equilibrium by Work Extraction, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021013 (2022).
- [52] T. Croucher, J. Wright, A. R. R. Carvalho, S. M. Barnett, and J. A. Vaccaro, Information erasure, in *Thermodynamics in the Quantum Regime: Fundamental Aspects and New Directions*, edited by F. Binder, L. A. Correa, C. Gogolin, J. Anders, and G. Adesso (Springer, Cham, 2018), pp. 713–730.
- [53] J. A. Vaccaro and S. M. Barnett, Information erasure without an energy cost, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **467**, 1770 (2011).
- [54] J. S. S. T. Wright, T. Gould, A. R. R. Carvalho, S. Bedkihal, and J. A. Vaccaro, Quantum heat engine operating between thermal and spin reservoirs, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 052104 (2018).
- [55] Z. Zhang, J. Tindall, J. Mur-Petit, D. Jaksch, and B. Buča, Stationary state degeneracy of open quantum systems with non-abelian symmetries, *J. Phys. A* **53**, 215304 (2020).
- [56] M. Medenjak, B. Buča, and D. Jaksch, Isolated heisenberg magnet as a quantum time crystal, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 041117 (R) (2020).
- [57] T. Croucher and J. A. Vaccaro, Memory erasure with finite-sized spin reservoir, [arXiv:2111.10930](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.10930).
- [58] I. Marvian, H. Liu, and A. Hulse, Qudit circuits with SU(d) symmetry: Locality imposes additional conservation laws, [arXiv:2105.12877](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.12877).
- [59] N. Yunger Halpern and S. Majidy, How to build hamiltonians that transport noncommuting charges in quantum thermodynamics, *npj Quantum Inf.* **8**, 1 (2022).
- [60] F. Kranzl, A. Lasek, M. K. Joshi, A. Kalev, R. Blatt, C. F. Roos, and N. Y. Halpern, Experimental observation of thermalisation with noncommuting charges, [arXiv:2202.04652](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.04652).
- [61] I. Marvian, H. Liu, and A. Hulse, Rotationally-invariant circuits: Universality with the exchange interaction and two ancilla qubits, [arXiv:2202.01963](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.01963).
- [62] A. F. Ducuara, Quantum resource theories: Operational tasks and information-theoretic quantities, Ph.D. thesis, University of Bristol, 2022.
- [63] S. Majidy, A. Lasek, D. A. Huse, and N. Yunger Halpern, Non-abelian symmetry can increase entanglement entropy, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 045102 (2023).
- [64] L. Foini and J. Kurchan, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and out of time order correlators, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 042139 (2019).
- [65] S. Pappalardi, L. Foini, and J. Kurchan, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and free probability, [arXiv:2204.11679](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.11679).
- [66] J. Wang, M. H. Lamann, J. Richter, R. Steinigeweg, A. Dymarsky, and J. Gemmer, Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis and its Deviations from Random-Matrix Theory Beyond the Thermalization Time, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 180601 (2022).
- [67] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics: Part 1* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1980).
- [68] F. G. S. L. Brandao and M. Cramer, Equivalence of statistical mechanical ensembles for non-critical quantum systems, [arXiv:1502.03263](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03263).
- [69] H. Tasaki, On the local equivalence between the canonical and the microcanonical ensembles for quantum spin systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **172**, 905 (2018).
- [70] Let  $d$  denote the spatial dimensionality. Equations (11) and (12) are satisfied if spin-spin correlations  $\langle s_{j,a} s_{j',a} \rangle - \langle s_{j,a} \rangle \langle s_{j',a} \rangle$  decay more quickly than  $|j - j'|^{-d}$  as the spatial separation  $|j - j'| \rightarrow \infty$ . If this latter condition governs energy-density correlations, Eq. (10) holds.
- [71] J. R. Garrison and T. Grover, Does a Single Eigenstate Encode the Full Hamiltonian?, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 021026 (2018).
- [72] E. T. Jaynes, Information theory and statistical mechanics II, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 171 (1957).
- [73] R. Balian and N. L. Balazs, Equiprobability, inference, and entropy in quantum theory, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **179**, 97 (1987).
- [74] In a non-Abelian twist on chemical potential, the  $\mu_a$  transform as an adjoint representation of SU(2). If rotating bodies replace the spins, the  $\mu_a$  reduce to angular velocities normalized by  $\beta$ .
- [75] M. Rigol, Breakdown of Thermalization in Finite One-Dimensional Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 100403 (2009).
- [76] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, Relaxation in a Completely Integrable Many-Body Quantum System: An Ab Initio Study of the Dynamics of the Highly Excited States of 1d Lattice Hard-Core Bosons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 050405 (2007).
- [77] T. Langen, S. Erne, R. Geiger, B. Rauer, T. Schweigler, M. Kuhnert, W. Rohringer, I. E. Mazets, T. Gasenzer, and J. Schmiedmayer, Experimental observation of a generalized gibbs ensemble, *Science* **348**, 207 (2015).
- [78] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, Generalized gibbs ensemble in integrable lattice models, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2016) 064007.
- [79] F. H. L. Essler and M. Fagotti, Quench dynamics and relaxation in isolated integrable quantum spin chains, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2016) 064002.

- [80] M. Fagotti, On conservation laws, relaxation and pre-relaxation after a quantum quench, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2014) P03016.
- [81] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.140402> for technical appendices, which includes Refs. [82,83].
- [82] M. Kliesch and A. Riera, Properties of thermal quantum states: Locality of temperature, decay of correlations, and more, in *Thermodynamics in the Quantum Regime: Fundamental Aspects and New Directions*, edited by F. Binder, L. A. Correa, C. Gogolin, J. Anders, and G. Adesso (Springer, Cham, 2018), pp. 481–502.
- [83] A. Bohm, *Quantum Mechanics: Foundations and Applications*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1986).
- [84] One can check this claim using Eq. (16). Since  $M = 0$ ,  $\mu = 0$ , so the  $\sum_m$  vanishes if  $k > 0$ .
- [85] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Many-body localization and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 15 (2015).
- [86] T. Mori, T. N. Ikeda, E. Kaminishi, and M. Ueda, Thermalization and prethermalization in isolated quantum systems: A theoretical overview, *J. Phys. B* **51**, 112001 (2018).
- [87] A. Chandran, T. Iadecola, V. Khemani, and R. Moessner, Quantum many-body scars: A quasiparticle perspective, [arXiv:2206.11528](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.11528).
- [88] S. Moudgalya, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, Quantum many-body scars and hilbert space fragmentation: A review of exact results, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **85**, 086501 (2022).